IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.377 OF 2005

Gautam Bandu (Balu) Yadav ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr. Nitin Sejpal, for the Applicant.

Mr. V.B. Konde Deshmukh, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

10th February 2005

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the record. The Applicant before this Court is the father-in-law of the deceased, who has been arrested in connection with offence punishable under section 498A and 302 of the Indian Penal Code read with section 34 thereof. The allegations against the Applicant are too general and vague. During the course of investigation,

it is seen that communication sent by the deceased has been recovered which clearly indicates that the deceased had no grievance against the father-in-law, brother-in-law and sister-in-law. Taking overall view of the matter, as investigation is complete and charge sheet is filed, in my opinion, the Applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail on the following terms.

(A) The Applicant is granted bail in connection with CR.No.I-189/2004 registered with Vartak Nagar Police Station, Thane, on executing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two local sureties in the like amount.

(B) The Applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence nor shall influence the prosecution witnesses in any manner whatsoever.

(C) The Applicant shall extend necessary co-operation to the trial Court for early

disposal of the trial. If the trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant in any manner is responsible for delay in disposal of the trial, that fact be recorded in the roznama, which can be a ground for cancellation of bail.

. Application disposed of on the above terms.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)